UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/730,465	12/08/2003	Hiroyuki Araki	P/1596-71	2933
	7590 08/30/200 FABER GERB & SOF	EXAMINER		
1180 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS			PERRIN, JOSEPH L	
NEW YORK, NY 100368403			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1746	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/30/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/730,465	ARAKI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Joseph L. Perrin, Ph.D.	1746				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 June 2007. This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. 						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 2-16 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 5-7 and 9-16 is/are w 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 2-4 and 8 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceed to the description of	ithdrawn from consideration. r election requirement. r. epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 20070619. 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/730,465 Page 2

Art Unit: 1746

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-4 & 8 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection necessitated by applicant's amendment.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 3. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. In claim 8, storing of the chemical solution in the treating solution is considered new matter. The original disclosure, for instance, original claim 8 and Figure 1 clearly show support for the chemicals being supplied to the piping and into tank (1) for storage. However, the original disclosure does not show support for storing the chemical solution in the chemical introducing device in a treating solution and fails to adequately describe such configuration to reasonably convey to one having

Art Unit: 1746

ordinary skill in the art that applicant had possession of such invention at the time the invention was made.

- 4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 5. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 6. Claim 8 recites the limitation "said treating solution" in line 7. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 7. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 8. Claims 2-4 & 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,131,588 to KAMIKAWA et al. ("KAMIKAWA"). As best understood in view of the §112 rejections above, KAMIKAWA discloses a substrate treating apparatus comprising a treating tank (41) with overflow weir (47) at the top and liquid introduction pipes (44) at the bottom, liquid feed piping connected to the pipes for feeding the liquid to the pipes and tank, a switch valve (46a) in the feed piping and a chemical introducing device (46b) between the switch valve and treating tank in the feed piping and having a branch pipe supplying chemicals thereto, the switch valve and introducing device

Application/Control Number: 10/730,465

Art Unit: 1746

(46a/46b) being operable by control means (not numbered) at predetermined timing.

See entire document, for instance, Figure 4 and relative associated text, especially col.

9, lines 42-44. The disclosure of the controller operating the switch valve at predetermined timing reads on the claimed controller and timer operating a switch valve.

Moreover, regarding claim 2 and claims 3-4, the controller being "operable" and "arranged" to perform the cited function is considered intended use and not afforded patentable weight. It is fundamental that an apparatus claim defines the structure of the invention and not how the structure is used in a process, or what materials the structure houses in carrying out the process. Ex parte Masham, 2 USPQ2d 1647, 1648 (BPAI 1987). See also In re Yanush, 477 F.2d 958, 959, 177 USPQ 705,706 (CCPA 1973); In re Finsterwalder, 436 F.2d 1028, 1032, 168 USPQ 530, 534 (CCPA 1971); In re Casey, 370 F.2d 576, 580, 152 USPQ 235,238 (CCPA 1967). As long as the apparatus of KAMIKAWA is capable of operating the switch valve based on timing means of the controller, the prior art apparatus meet the requirements of the claimed apparatus. Thus, no such structural distinction is apparent. Even if, arguendo, one were to give patentable weight of setting the timer for particular settings (i.e. 5-20 seconds) it is not readily apparent how such simple settings results in a patentable modification given that one having ordinary skill in the art would know that setting the preset times to yield the predictable results of controlling the amount of a particular chemical by controlling the time for which it is supplied/removed. Such control is considered common sense in the art.

Application/Control Number: 10/730,465 Page 5

Art Unit: 1746

Conclusion

9. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

- 10. A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.
- 11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joseph L. Perrin, Ph.D. whose telephone number is (571)272-1305. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:00-4:30, except alternate Fridays.
- 12. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael E. Barr can be reached on (571)272-1414. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/730,465

Art Unit: 1746

13. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

> Joseph L. Perrin, Ph.D. Primary Examiner Art Unit 1746

Page 6

JLP